

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/988,978	11/19/2001	William E. Ford	450117-03695	9531
7	7590 02/22/2002			
FROMMER LAWRENCE & MAUG LLP			EXAMINER MAUPIN, CHRISTINE L	
745 Fifth Avenue				
New York, NY 10151				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 02/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
•	09/988,978	
Office Action Summary		FORD ET AL.
	Examin r	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	Christine L. Mau	pin 1637
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature and reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Time of Claims	LY IS SET TO EXP 136(a). In no event, hower ply within the statutory min d will apply and will expire state, cause the application to ng date of this communicat February 2002 This action is non-fire wance except for for the except for the	PIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM ever, may a reply be timely filed imum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). ion, even if timely filed, may reduce any nal.
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from considera	tion.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		·
8) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and/or	-14	
Application Papers	election requireme	nt.
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) \square The drawing(s) filed on $11/19/2001$ is/are: a) \square		piected to by the Evaminer
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved	b) disapproved by the Examiner
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office actio	on.
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 t	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(1).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receive	ed.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receive	ed in Application No
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application.	rity documents have	e been received in this National Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	C priority under 25 !	LS C & 110(a) (to a province of the control of the
a) ine translation of the foreign language prov	visional application	has been received
Acknowledgitterit is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35	U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121
ttacnment(s)	-	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) <u></u> No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) otice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:
Patent and Trademark Office D-326 (Rev. 04-01)	ion Summary	

Application/Control Number: 09/988,978

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Group I. Claims 1-12, drawn to the process of immobilizing a composition of various nucleic acid substrates, classified in class 536, subclass 25.3.
- Group II. Claim 13, drawn to the product of immobilized compositions of various nucleic acid substrates, classified in class 536, subclass 24.3.
- Group III. Claim 14, drawn to the methods of using of immobilized compositions of various nucleic acid substrates, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

1. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the nucleic acids can be obtained by chemical synthesis or photoprenylmethology or the method of Group I.

Application/Control Number: 09/988,978

Art Unit: 1637

2. Inventions Group II and Group III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product of the combined nucleic acids can be made by, cloning or separating nucleic sequences or polymerase chain reactions (PCR) or the method of Group III.

- 3. Inventions Group I and Group III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are distinct in process steps, the functions and results that that may be obtained for example Group I may function to create a desired array, while Group III will give results for the detection or primers of a nucleic acid.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. During a telephone conversation with William Ford on February 21, 2002 a provisional election was not made to prosecute the any of the inventive Groups without further discussion with the inventors and requested the restrictions be sent by mail.
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/988,978

Art Unit: 1637

71, Control (4d/11bc) . 00,000,0

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine L. Maupin whose telephone number is 703-308-3617. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 703-308-1119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1123.

Christine L. Maupin Examiner Art Unit 1637 Page 4

February 21, 2002

JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Christme Hompen